The 7th International Conference on Development and Learning and Epigenetic Robotics, Instituto Superior Tecnico, Lisbon, Portugal, 20th Sep, 2017

Predictive Coding-based Deep Dynamic Neural Network for Visuomotor Learning

Jungsik Hwang^{1,2}, Jinhyung Kim¹, Ahmadreza Ahmadi^{1,2}, Minkyu Choi² and Jun Tani²

¹Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology ²Okinawa Institute of Science and Technology



OVERVIEW

PROPOSED MODEL

EXPERIMENT SETTING

MENTAL SIMULATION

PREDICTION ERROR MINIMIZATION CONCLUSION

Overview

"Deep Dynamic Neural Network Model" which can

- 1. Build a Predictive Internal Model of the world from sensorimotor experience
 - Predicting dynamic visuo-proprioceptive patterns
- 2. Minimize Prediction Error through updating internal states of the neurons
 - Inferring intention of the perceived patterns
 - Recalling visuo-proprioceptive representations

OVERVIEW

PROPOSED MODEL

EXPERIMENT SETTING

MENTAL SIMULATION

PREDICTION ERROR MINIMIZATION CONCLUSION

Proposed Model



P-VMDNN

Predictive

Visuo-Motor

Deep

Dynamic

Neural Network

Predictive Visuo-Motor Deep Dynamic Neural Network



Visual Pathway

- Predicts pixel-level dynamic visual images
 - Implemented by P MSTRNN (Predictive-Multiple Spatio-Temporal Scales RNN)
 - 4 Layers
 - Vision Input/Output
 - Vision Fast
 - Vision Middle
 - Vision Slow

Predictive Visuo-Motor Deep Dynamic Neural Network





Proprioceptive Pathway

- Predicts robot's joint position values
 - Implemented by MTRNN (Multiple Timescales RNN)
 - 4 Layers
 - Proprioception Input/Output
 - Proprioception Fast
 - Proprioception Middle
 - Proprioception Slow

Predictive Visuo-Motor Deep Dynamic Neural Network



Lateral Connection

- Between the highest level of each pathway
- Coupling of Vision & Proprioception
- Trained in a holistic manner
 - End-to-End Training

Predictive Visuo-Motor Deep Dynamic Neural Network



Spatio-Temporal Hierarchy

Larger Time Constants, Longer Distance Connectivity

Smaller Time Constants, Shorter Distance Connectivity

"Emergence of Functional Hierarchy"

Predictive Visuo-Motor Deep Dynamic Neural Network



Key Features

- Mental Simulation
- Prediction Error Minimization
- Processing of Spatio-Temporal Patterns^{*}
- Coupling of Vision & Proprioception * (*Hwang et al., ICDL-EPIROB 2016)

OVERVIEW

PROPOSED MODEL

EXPERIMENT SETTING

MENTAL SIMULATION

PREDICTION ERROR MINIMIZATION CONCLUSION

Experiment Setting

• Task: Imitating another robot's gestures

- Robotic Platform: iCub Simulator
- Visuomotor Coordination, Observing the movements, Understanding the intention, Predicting the next movements

Demonstrator







. Imitator

Experiment Setting

- Dataset: Acquired From "tutoring" (kinesthetic teaching)
 - 16 hand-waving gestures:
 - Visual Images (64 x 48 grayscale)
 - Joint Position Values (left & right elbows)
- Training: 40,000 epochs, BPTT, ADAM on Tensorflow
- After training:
 - Connection weights & biases: same for all training data
 - Initial states: different for each training data
- Network Settings:

| Visual Pathway | Layer | Time Constants | Feature Maps | | Top-Down Kernel | | Bottom-Up Kernel | | Recurrent Kernel | | Lateral Kernel | |
|--------------------------------|----------------|-------------------|--------------|-------|--------------------|--------|---------------------|--------|---------------------|--------|-------------------|--------|
| | | | Number | Size | Size | Stride | Size | Stride | Size | Stride | Size | Stride |
| | V _F | 2 | 4 | 60×44 | 4×4 | 2,2 | 5×5 | 1,1 | 2×2 | 1,1 | 2 . =0 | 1950 |
| | V _M | 4 | 8 | 29×21 | 5×5 | 2,2 | 4×4 | 2,2 | 2×2 | 1,1 | - | - |
| | Vs | 8 | 12 | 13×9 | - | - | 5×5 | 2,2 | 2×2 | 1,1 | 13×9 | 1,1 |
| Proprio- ceptive pathway | Layer | Time | Number | | Top-Down | | Bottom-Up | | Recurrent | | Lateral | |
| | | Constants | of Neurons | | Weights | | Weights | | Weights | | Kernel | |
| | P_{F} | 2 | 30 | | 30×20 | | 30×20 | | 30×30 | | - | 0.50 |
| | P _M | 4 | 20 | | 20×10 | | 20×30 | | 20×20 | | 1 | 1.2 |
| | P_S | 8 | 10 | | | | 10×20 | | 10×20 | | 13×9 | 1,1 |

• Exp 1. Mental Simulation

- Imagining possible outcome of action
- Without external inputs, but with given intention

• Exp 2. Prediction Error Minimization

- Exp 2-1. Minimizing Visual Prediction Error
- Exp 2-2. Minimizing Proprioceptive Prediction Error

OVERVIEW

PROPOSED MODEL

EXPERIMENT SETTING

MENTAL SIMULATION

PREDICTION ERROR MINIMIZATION CONCLUSION

Mental Simulation

- Ability to imagine probable result of our actions
- Important in social interaction
- Need to provide "<u>a goal</u>" what to simulate

Implementation in Our Model

- Proactively generating visuo-proprioceptive patterns
 - Without external inputs, but with given intention states
- Anticipating
 - its own action (i.e. Proprioceptive Prediction joint position values)
 - & others' action (i.e. Visual Prediction gray scale images)

- 1. Set the "Intention"
 - Specified as the initial states
- 2. Generate Output
 - Visual & Proprioceptive predictions
- 3. Feed Prediction Output into Input
 - "Closed-loop Generation"
- 4. Iterate (2) (3)



- Set the "Intention" 1.
 - Specified as the initial states
- Generate Output 2.
 - Visual & Proprioceptive predictions ullet
- Feed Prediction Output into Input 3.
 - "Closed-loop Generation"
- Iterate (2) (3) 4.



- 1. Set the "Intention"
 - Specified as the initial states
- 2. Generate Output
 - Visual & Proprioceptive predictions
- 3. Feed Prediction Output into Input
 - "Closed-loop Generation"
- 4. Iterate (2) (3)





- 1. Set the "Intention"
 - Specified as the initial states
- 2. Generate Output
 - Visual & Proprioceptive predictions
- 3. Feed Prediction Output into Input
 - "Closed-loop Generation"
- 4. Iterate (2) (3)
- Without external input from environment
- Only with given intention



- 1. Set the "Intention"
 - Specified as the initial states
- 2. Generate Output
 - Visual & Proprioceptive predictions
- 3. Feed Prediction Output into Input
 - "Closed-loop Generation"
- 4. Iterate (2) (3)
- Without external input from environment
- Only with given intention



- 1. Set the "Intention"
 - Specified as the initial states
- 2. Generate Output
 - Visual & Proprioceptive predictions
- 3. Feed Prediction Output into Input
 - "Closed-loop Generation"
- 4. Iterate (2) (3)
- Without external input from environment
- Only with given intention



- 1. Set the "Intention"
 - Specified as the initial states
- 2. Generate Output
 - Visual & Proprioceptive predictions
- 3. Feed Prediction Output into Input
 - "Closed-loop Generation"
- 4. Iterate (2) (3)
- Without external input from environment
- Only with given intention



- 1. Set the "Intention"
 - Specified as the initial states
- 2. Generate Output
 - Visual & Proprioceptive predictions
- 3. Feed Prediction Output into Input
 - "Closed-loop Generation"
- 4. Iterate (2) (3)
- Without external input from environment
- Only with given intention



- 1. Set the "Intention"
 - Specified as the initial states
- 2. Generate Output
 - Visual & Proprioceptive predictions
- 3. Feed Prediction Output into Input
 - "Closed-loop Generation"
- 4. Iterate (2) (3)
- Without external input from environment
- Only with given intention



- 1. Set the "Intention"
 - Specified as the initial states
- 2. Generate Output
 - Visual & Proprioceptive predictions
- 3. Feed Prediction Output into Input
 - "Closed-loop Generation"
- 4. Iterate (2) (3)
- Without external input from environment
- Only with given intention



Result Initial States obtained from Training

- Different initial states for each training data
- Self-organized higher-level initial states
 - Reflecting the characteristics of the gestures



Result Mental Simulation of Action

- With given '<u>intention</u>', the model generated visuo-proprioceptive patterns without external inputs
 - Coherent visual and proprioceptive predictions
 - → Vision and Proprioception were tightly coupled



Closed-loop generation of patterns

OVERVIEW

PROPOSED MODEL

EXPERIMENT SETTING

MENTAL SIMULATION

PREDICTION ERROR MINIMIZATION CONCLUSION

Prediction Error Minimization

- Core of "Predictive Coding"
- Account for MNS (Mirror Neuron System)
 - Recognizing intention from observation by <u>minimizing prediction error</u> at the levels of a cortical hierarchy (Kilner et al., 2007)

Implementation in Our Model

Recognizing intention of the perceived patterns by <u>minimizing prediction error</u>
 <HOW>→ <u>Updating internal states of neurons at each level of the hierarchy</u>

"Error Regression Scheme"

• Error Regression Scheme (ERS)

- Implementation of PE Minimization (Tani, 2016)

At each time step

- 1. Generate Visuo-Prop. Predictions
 - Top-Down Process
- 2. Compute Prediction Error
 - Difference b/w Predicted & Observed Patterns
- 3. Backpropagate Prediction Error & Update Intention State
 - Bottom-Up Process
- 4. Iterates a Few Times





Environment

• Error Regression Scheme (ERS)

- Implementation of PE Minimization (Tani, 2016)

At each time step

- 1. Generate Visuo-Prop. Predictions
 - Top-Down Process
- 2. Compute Prediction Error
 - Difference b/w Predicted & Observed Patterns
- 3. Backpropagate Prediction Error & Update Intention State
 - Bottom-Up Process
- 4. Iterates a Few Times





Environment

• Error Regression Scheme (ERS)

- Implementation of PE Minimization (Tani, 2016)

- 1. Generate Visuo-Prop. Predictions
 - Top-Down Process
- 2. Compute Prediction Error
 - Difference b/w Predicted & Observed Patterns
- 3. Backpropagate Prediction Error & Update Intention State
 - Bottom-Up Process
- 4. Iterates a Few Times



• Error Regression Scheme (ERS)

- Implementation of PE Minimization (Tani, 2016)

- 1. Generate Visuo-Prop. Predictions
 - Top-Down Process
- 2. Compute Prediction Error
 - Difference b/w Predicted & Observed Patterns
- 3. Backpropagate Prediction Error & Update Intention State
 - Bottom-Up Process
- 4. Iterates a Few Times



• Error Regression Scheme (ERS)

- Implementation of PE Minimization (Tani, 2016)

- 1. Generate Visuo-Prop. Predictions
 - Top-Down Process
- 2. Compute Prediction Error
 - Difference b/w Predicted & Observed Patterns
- 3. Backpropagate Prediction Error & Update Intention State
 - Bottom-Up Process
- 4. Iterates a Few Times



• Error Regression Scheme (ERS)

- Implementation of PE Minimization (Tani, 2016)

- 1. Generate Visuo-Prop. Predictions
 - Top-Down Process
- 2. Compute Prediction Error
 - Difference b/w Predicted & Observed Patterns
- 3. Backpropagate Prediction Error & Update Intention State
 - Bottom-Up Process
- 4. Iterates a Few Times



Experimental Conditions

1. Minimizing "Visual" Prediction Error

- Minimizing the difference between
 - Visual Prediction (i.e., predicted gesture)
 - Observation (i.e., observed gesture)



VISUAL PREDICTION

2. Minimizing "Proprioceptive" Prediction Error

- Minimize the difference between
 - Prop. Prediction (i.e., predicted joint position values)
 - Observation (i.e., perceived position values)

Testing Environment

• A target sequence consisting of 4 concatenated patterns



PROP. PREDICTION ERROR



- With Visual PE Minimization
 - Successfully predicted visual images
 - − Generated corresponding Proprioceptive Prediction → Successful imitation
- Without Visual PE Minimization
 - − Did NOT predict Visual Images & Proprioceptive Signals → Unsuccessful imitation



- With Visual PE Minimization
 - Successfully predicted visual images
 - − Generated corresponding Proprioceptive Prediction → Successful imitation
- Without Visual PE Minimization
 - − Did NOT predict Visual Images & Proprioceptive Signals → Unsuccessful imitation



• Video



Result 2) Minimizing Proprioceptive Prediction Error



Result 2) Minimizing Proprioceptive Prediction Error

- With Prop.PE Minimization
 - Successfully minimized Prop.PE
 - Generated corresponding Visual Prediction (visual imaginary)
- Without Prop.PE Minimization
 - Not able to adapt to incoming Prop. Signal / generate corresponding visual imaginary



Result

2) Minimizing Proprioceptive Prediction Error

- With Prop.PE Minimization
 - Successfully minimized Prop.PE
 - Generated corresponding Visual Prediction (visual imaginary)
- Without Prop.PE Minimization
 - Not able to adapt to incoming Prop. Signal / generate corresponding visual imaginary



Result 2) Minimizing Proprioceptive Prediction Error

VIDEO



Result

Neural Activation while Minimizing Visual Prediction Error

- Trajectory of Neural Activation
 - Dashed Lines: During PE Minimization
 - Solid Line: During Training
- Overlapping Trajectories
 - <At higher level>
 - Inferring higher-level intention latent in observed patterns



Result

Neural Activation while Minimizing Visual Prediction Error

- **Trajectory of Neural Activation**
 - Dashed Lines: During PE Minimization
 - Solid Line: During Training
- Overlapping Trajectories
 - <At higher level>
 - Inferring higher-level intention latent in observed patterns
 - <At lower level>
 - Recalling the corresponding representations
 - Retrieval of missing sensorimotor signals



"Predictive Coding Account of MNS^{**} (Kilner et al., 2007)

Conclusion

- Predictive Visuo-Motor Deep Dynamic Neural Network (P-VMDNN)
 - Builds a **Predictive Internal Model** of the environment
 - From dynamic sensorimotor experience
 - Mentally Simulates possible outcome of an action
 - With a given intention through the top-down mechanism
 - Minimizes PE through updating internal states
 - Inferring higher-level intention latent in observed patterns
 - Recalling the corresponding visuo-proprioceptive representations acquired during training



Jungsik Hwang







Ahmadreza Ahmadi



Choi



Jun Tani



THANK YOU

- Acknowledgement
 - Korea's ICT R&D program of MSIP/IITP. [2016(R7117-16-0163), Intelligent Processor Architectures and Application Software for CNN-RNN]
 - SRS (Special Research Student) program at OIST